

## A GENDA



01 | OBJECTIVES OF THE REPORT, SAMPLE \& METHODOLOGY


## PROJECT "CLUBSTUDIE"

PROJECT "CLUBSTUDIE"

BACKGROUND \&

OBJECTIVES $\quad$| Commissioned by "Initiative Musik" and funded by the federal |
| :--- |
| government commissioner for culture and media in Germany |
| $\bullet$ |
| $\bullet$ |
| • |
| • Analysis of cultural, economic, and social aspects |
| • Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic |

## APPROXIMATELY 2.000 LIVE MUSIC VENUES IN GERMANY

LOCATION, METHODOLOGY AND SAMPLE



## METHODOLOGY \& SAMPLE

Methodology

- Mixed methods research design
- Qualitative expert interviews (content analysis)
- Quantitative online-surveys

Sample

- Adjusted gross sample $\mathrm{n}=1.880$
- Response rate ( $\mathrm{n}=1.070$ ) 59,5 \%
- Completion rate: $\mathrm{n}=830$ completed questionnaires


## 02 | STRUCTURAL INDICATORS \& DEMOGRAPHY



## heterogeneous array of venues

STRUCTURAL INDICATORS: SELF ASSESSMENT

- Self assessment of the respondants as the central criterion of differentiation for the empirical analysis

Jazz Clubs:


- Jazz Clubs exist for a long time, average opening date is 1991 which makes them the "oldest" clubs in Germany $\rightarrow$ first Jazz Club founded in 1952!
- Survey includes 63 Jazz Clubs (gross sample $n=153$ )
- Most Jazz Clubs in small- and midsize towns in the western part of Germany



## SMALL AND MID-SIZE VENUES DOMINATE

STRUCTURAL INDICATORS \& DEMOGRAPHY

## Venue capacities

- 52 \% of all venues are characterized by (very) small capacities up to 200 persons
$\rightarrow$ approx. $80 \%$ of the venues dispose of small to medium capacaties
- Overall venue capacity at approx. 700.000

Jazz Clubs:


- $80 \%$ have small capacities up to 200 persons



## SUCCESSORS WANTED

STRUCTURAL INDICATORS \& DEMOGRAPHY

## Age cohorts

- Average age of operaters is 48 years
- $15 \%$ of the operators about to enter into retirement, further 25 \% just before retirement

Jazz Clubs

- Jazz Club operators are on average 60 years old
$\rightarrow$ Generation change is a relevant topic, especially for Jazz Clubs


## Level of education



- The operators' level of education above average
- 75 \% have completeted A-levels or even hold a university degree


## KEY RESULTS

STRUCTURAL INDICATORS \& DEMOGRAPHY

## CONCLUSION

- First nationwide survey of german music venues
- Approximately a quarter of all venues have a capacity of about 100, 200 and up to 500
- The venues are mostly located in large cities, but there still is a nation-wide covering
- Legally low capital intensive organizational forms dominate
- Space usage mainly via rental contracts
- The operators‘ level of education above average

EU-level:


- 20 \% of all venues have capacities up to 200 persons (Live-DMA Survey)
- Ensuring the conservation of substance of the array of venues post-COVID-19
- Supporting operator change especially in Jazz Clubs; advice/networks
- Facilitating formation of new venues; advice/neworks/monitoring
- Consideration within the framework of city development plans, especially in urban areas $\rightarrow$ cultural space protection


# 03 | ECONOMIC INDICATORS 



## LOW PROFIT MARGINS

ECONOMIC INDICATORS: ANNUAL TURNOVER, ANNUAL PROFIT,RETURN ON SALES 2019

| ANNUAL TURNOVER 2019 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| All venues (sample) | 435m € |
| Median | $240.000 €$ |
| Mean | 585.000 € |
| Extrapolation | ~ 1.1 bn $€$ |
| ANNUAL PROFIT 2019 |  |
| Median | $8.000 €$ |
| Mean | $30.000 €$ |
| RETURN ON SALES 2019 |  |
| Median | 3.1 \% |
| Mean | 4.1 \% |



## STRUCTURE OF REVENUE \& COSTS 2019

ECONOMIC INDICATORS


All venues




Revenues: Jazz Clubs have lower gastronomic revenues, but higher entry fee shares \& public subisidies Costs: Jazz Clubs have much lower staff costs, but much higher artist fee shares

## 80 \% OF THE WORKFORCE ARE PAID

ECONOMIC INDICATORS: EMPLOYMENT \& FULL-TIME-EQUIVALENT


## HIGHEST NEEDS FOR DIGITAL TECHNOLOGY

ECONOMIC INDICATORS: RECEIVED AND NEEDED FUNDING

## Received funding

- Funding by "Initiative Musik" and the municipalities especially relevant
- $90 \%$ of all Jazz clubs receive public funding, average only at $64 \%$

- Most crucial need for funding still with regards to digital infrastructure (61 \%)
- Continuation of the support for newcomer work (46 \%)
- Increasing security measures imply need for security relevant infrastructure as well as audit costs ( $24 \%$ )
- Funding for soundproofing measures (22 \%)
Training and
continued education

Soundproofing measures
Supporting young talents/ emerging artists

Purchases of digital technology

Security relevant
building measures
Support for audit costs with regards to security relevant fittings
Consulting offers
Programming respecting gender equality

Other areas


## RESULTS AT A GLANCE

ECONOMIC INDICATORS: KEY FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDED ACTIONS

## CONCLUSIONS

- $80 \%$ of the venues achieve annual revenue of up to 500.000 ; total annual revenues $\sim 1.1 \mathrm{bn} €$
- 43.000 employees and 7.000 regular freelancers
- Federal support (via "Initiative Musik") und support by the municipalities constitute important factors
- Central support focus: technical infrastructure and programming costs
- Jazz Clubs annual revenues are $166.700 €$ and very low return of sales $0.6 \%$
EU-level:

- Higher share of staff cost, public funding and ticket sales (Live-DMA Survey)
- Higher share of volunteer FTEs (Live-DMA Survey)
- Perception of the economic significance and employment substance of the music event market needs to be enhanced
- Continuation of the public subsidies in order to ensure the viability of the market
- New aspects of support with regards to security relevant infrastructure and soundproofing


## 05 | CULTURAL AND SOCIAL SIGNIFICANCE

## CULTURAL AND SOCIAL MOTIVATION RELEVANT

CULTURAL AND SOCIAL SIGNIFICANCE: FOUNDATIONAL MOTIVATION

To create an open space
for interaction
To provide new musical offers in my city/region

To be host for the audience and the artists

To create a space for experiments

To curate a program myself

To convey a social and political attitude

To make money

To implement my own musical interests


## HIGH SIGNIFICANCE OF NEWCOMER WORK

CULTURAL AND SOCIAL SIGNIFICANCE: MUSIC EVENTS AND NEWCOMER

NUMBER OF MUSIC EVENTS \& GIGS
YOUNG TALENTS/EMERGING ARTISTS

- ~ 190.000 music events p. a.
- 236.000 gigs of young talents/emerging artists p. a.
- ~ 260.000 gigs of artists p. a.
- Average occupancy rate of music events about 70 \%
- 95 \% of young talents/emerging artists are paid a fee
- Average fee approximately $150 €$
- Approximately 50 m annual guests

Jazz Clubs:


- 100 music events on average p.a.
- 15.400 music events p. a.
- Approximately 1.5 m annual guests


## Jazz Clubs:



- 27 gigs of newcomers in Jazzclubs p. a.

High diversity of musical styles
© Jazz music is regularly offerend in every second live music venue in Germany

## RESULTS AT A GLANCE

CULTURAL AND SOCIAL SIGNIFICANCE: KEY FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDED ACTIONS

## CONCLUSIONS

- Intrinsic motivation as the main driver for cultural work $\rightarrow$ dominantes economic motifs
- 190.000 music events p. a./Jazz Clubs 15.400 p. a.
- 260.000 gigs of artists p. a.
- 36.000 gigs of newcomers p. a.
- 50 m guests p. a. / Jazz Clubs 1.5 m guests p. a.
- Cultural program is a location factor for the city/region
EU-level:

- 400.000 music events p. a.
- Approximately 70 m guests p . a.
- Enhance the social recognition of cultural work as well as the necessary economic prerequisites
- Preserve venues as focal points for alternative social lifestyles, scene, and communities
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